

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/050554					
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED A	PPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/050,554 04/2	20/93	DOUBEK		W	C348.12-0003
			1.	ASHER, K	EXAMINER
		F3M1/0915			
THOMAS A. RENDOS			•		
KINNEY AND LANGE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
625 FORTH AVENUE SUITE 1500	SOUTH			3307	8
MINNEAPOLIS, MN	55415			DATE MAILED:	09/15/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

太	тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a)		is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) .	X	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Αp	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
×		pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed 33194 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1.	Ø	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	•	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2.		NOTE: Applicants' amendment to claim 5 is not it haross indicated as being allowable because the complete lamping & laim 2 was not added. Similarly, claim to as presented is not to the occase indicated as being allowed. Applicants amendment to claim 5 17 8/8 also rains mew issues due to the additional necessaries to claims 17 8/8 also rains mew issues due to the additional necessaries to claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling of the non-allowable claims.
3. (	ď	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered to will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as tollows: in the circle rejection applicants arguments directed to the new ment dona Jewininal Disclauria are not persuasive given the clear claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected:  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. [	<b>-</b>	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. [		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
ים סס		proposed drawing correction   has   has not been approved by the examiner.
		KIMBERLY L. ASHER PRIMARY EXAMINER

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

**GROUP 3300**